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Abstract—Nowadays, GPON is the most popular standard for new access networks. However, GPON is able to provide up to 2.5 Gbit/s in both directions in near future customers may require higher bandwidth. The promising solution will be NG-PON2 based networks. This standard is currently popular research field because it is a first standard which completely provides wavelength tuning at ONU. Currently, NG-PON2 standard is interesting for many research groups all around the world because it should provide wavelength tuning at ONU side. The simulation tools such as OptSim, VPIphotonics, and Optiwave support simulation of all types of passive optical networks but only from a physical layer point of view. We successfully implemented transmission convergence layer with all 3 sublayers in co-simulation block in VPIphotonics. Our results prove differences between a fundamental NG-PON2 model and NG-PON2 model with transmission convergence layer. We achieved a minimum of 5 km extension of ODN network reaches for all attenuation classes (attenuation classes E1 and E2 achieved 10 km extension of ODN).
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I. INTRODUCTION

Total Internet traffic has experienced a dramatic growth in the past two decades. According to Cisco VNI (Visual Networking Index): Forecast and Methodology, 2015-2020, the global IP (Internet Protocol) traffic will increase nearly threefold over next couple of years [1]. Until 2020, the annual IP traffic is likely to reach approximately 2.3 ZB essentially resulting in doubling the current broadband speed. Furthermore, the number of devices connected to IP networks is likely to outnumber the global population approximately three times. Therefore, to meet the challenges ahead, the continual industrial development and innovation in this field are essential.

To deal with the higher-bandwidth-consuming applications and services, exponential growth of traffic carried over the network and increasing customer demands for faster and cheaper information, several optical fibre access technologies based on PON (Passive Optical Networks) such as GPON [2] (Gigabit PON), EPON [3] (Ethernet PON) and 10 Gigabit-class PON technologies XG-PON1 [4] (Next Generation PON), 10G-EPON [5] (10 Gigabit Ethernet PON) have been standardized by the ITU-T (International Telecommunication Union) and IEEE (Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers) respectively. These PON technologies were designed to operate over a fibre infrastructure based on passive point-to-multipoint power-splitter technology, TDM (Time-Division Multiplexing) and TDMA (Time-Division Multiple Access) mechanisms. However, these standards were designed to use only a single wavelength channel (per-user time slot allocation) to connect the users in downstream (DS) and upstream (US) directions.

Recently, the latest generation PON (NG-PON2) [6], specified in the G.989 series of ITU-T Recommendations, has been defined. In contrast to legacy PON technologies such as GPON [2], XGPON1 [4], etc., NG-PON2 [6] (N-PON Stage 2) is the first PON supporting multiple wavelength channels in DS and US directions offering an accumulated per-PON fibre capacity of 40 Gbit/s (channel line rate up to 10 Gbit/s per wavelength) in the DS direction and 10 Gbit/s in the US direction by taking advantage of WDM (Wavelength-Division multiplexing), channel pairing and colorless tunable transceiver (Tx) technology in the subscriber terminals. NG-PON2 can be used by a variety of access, backhaul and front-haul applications and it is also compatible with legacy power-split Optical Distribution Networks (ODN) providing reuse of existing technology, installed optical fibre infrastructure and coexistence with deployed legacy PON systems without disruption of customers services especially during upgrade of the network infrastructure.

At present, two major technologies are specified in the NG-PON2 standards: a) hybrid time and wavelength division multiplexing (TWDM) PON [7], [8], [9], and b) wavelength overlay point-to-point wavelength division multiplexing (PtP WDM) PON [6]. The major challenge regarding implementation of both TWDM PON and PtP WDM PON is the efficient, low-cost realization of $\lambda$-tunable transceivers at the optical network units (ONUs) that are essential for realization of modern residential fibre-to-the-home (FTTH) services. Currently, TWDM PON is the primary solution of the NG-PON2.

The main contribution of this paper is own implementation of NG-PON2 TC (Transmission Convergence) layer into VPIphotonics tool. VPIphotonics tool is dedicated for simulations of the physical layer (such as power, attenuation, bit error rate, split ratio etc.). Our implementation proves that TC layer which contains 3 sublayers improve a total system...
The rest of this paper is structured as follows. In the next section we get an overview of the related works. Section III presents the transmission convergence layer of NG-PON2 networks. Section IV describes the simulation scenarios and results discussion. Section V concludes this paper.

II. RELATED WORKS

In recent years, many articles about NG-PON2 have been published. Authors [10] deal with optimization of energy reduction with an ILP (Integer Linear Programming). The ILP model is compared with a heuristic algorithm in PON networks based on Ethernet protocol. On the other hand, NG-PON2 does not use message gate for buffer occupation reporting because these networks encapsulate Ethernet frames to own structure. Article [11] focuses on an optimization of activation process for ONUs in NG-PON2 which do not achieve right lambda and transfer data in different channel. We dealt with the activation process in [12] for GPON. Another key area of infrastructure protection for access networks is listed in [13]. The article provides a detailed overview of proposed redundant infrastructure protection topologies, and defines the affected states in the activation process of ONUs. Although redundant network protection schemes were already part of the GPON network, no attention no attention has been paid to this area. A lambda selected ODN idea according to NG-PON2 was presented in [14]. Authors compare the usage of lambda selective filters only for a chosen area, however, in other areas a standard power splitter was used. The work [15] presents fast lambda tuning with burst transmission. They use a direct modulation of DBR (Distributed Bragg Reflector) laser with 20 km reach, low power consumption and stable frequency in operational state in NG-PON2. There are some articles about transmission convergence layer [16], [17] and [18] but current simulation tools are not able to simulate transmission convergence layer because they focus on physical layer parameters (such as power, attenuation, bit error rate, split ratio etc.).

III. NG-PON2 TRANSMISSION CONVERGENCE LAYER

TWDM-TC (Transmission Convergence TWDM) layer is composed of three sublayers: a) service adaptation sublayer; b) framing sublayer; and c) physical adaptation sublayer. TWDM-TC layer is present at both the OLT (Optical Line Termination) and the ONU (Optical Network Unit) side. In downstream direction, the interface between TWDM-TC and PMD (Physical Medium Dependent) layers is represented by the bitstream divided into the frames of 125 µs duration. This process is based on the encapsulation of SDU (Service Data Unit) units that are present in the higher-level layers to the bitstream in the physical layer as depicted in Fig. 1. In the upstream direction, the interface is represented by the series of precisely-synchronized time-bursts.

A. Service Adaptation Sublayer

SAS (Service Adaptation Sublayer) is responsible for encapsulating SDU units from the higher-level layers, multiplexing, and describing the transmitted data. On the transmitter side, this layer accepts SDU units represented by the data from users, ensures the fragmenting process, assigns the XGEM (XG-PON Encapsulation Method) Port-ID to SDU units/fragments, or demands the encapsulation in direction of getting the XGEM frame/s. The XGEM data can be encrypted. Stream of the XGEM frames creates the FS (Framing Sublayer) frame in the downstream direction and the FS burst in the upstream direction. On the receiver side, the SAS accepts the data stored in the FS frames and the FS bursts, respectively. It describes the XGEM frames, filters the frames based on the XGEM Port-ID, decodes the XGEM frames, and demultiplex the SDU units [19].

B. Framing Sublayer

FS (Framing Sublayer) is responsible for creating and managing the fields necessary for the data-stream management in PON. On transmitter side, this layer accepts the series of XGEM frames composed of FS data, and in combination with the headers/footers addition, it creates the FS frames/bursts in the upstream direction. Logical channels OAM (Operation, Administration and Maintenance), and PLOAM (Physical Layer Operation, Administration and Maintenance) provides information for the management of the data-stream in either direction. In the downstream direction, the FS frame size is flexible. In the upstream direction, it is connected with the PLOAM channel. Furthermore, the data in the FS are
multiplexed based on the assignment to the Alloc-ID. On the receiver side, this layer accepts FS frames/bursts, analyses the headers, obtains the built-in PLOAM channel, and sends the FS data to the SAS. The information stored in the OAM defines/manages the bandwidth for the upstream direction and controls the timing of the SAS.

C. Physical Adaptation sublayer

Physical adaptation sublayer provides function to edit the data-stream modulated by the optical transmitter in direction of improving the detection and sensitivity. It also describes the properties of the signal transmitted through the optical medium, and secures the data by the error-coding techniques. On the transmitter side, this layer accepts the FS frames/bursts from the FS, distributes them into the data-streams for FEC (Forward Error Correction) process. It adds the parity to every block of data, scrambles the FEC blocks, prepares the physical-layer time-synchronization of the bitstream based on PSBd (Physical Synchronization Block appropriate for downstream) or PSBu (PSB appropriate for upstream). On the receiver side, it synchronizes the incoming bitstream, apply the error correction (FEC) and sends the corrected FS frames to the higher-level layers.

IV. SIMULATION SCHEME

The simulation scheme used in the simulation model consists of transmitter part OLT, transport part and receiver part ONU. NG-PON2 was deployed in TWDM configuration with 4 lambdas, each one transmitting 10 Gbit/s in downstream direction from OLT to multiple ONUs. The number of receivers is given by split ratio in 1 or more optical splitters. If more, the partial ratios are multiplied.

Transmitter part on Fig. 2 is created by 4 lasers each representing 1 lambda and combined to WDM. Generation of bitstream and TC layer encapsapsulation is performed in Matlab and transferred via cosimulation block interface into VPIphotonics scheme where it becomes source of bitstream for modulator. Optical signals are then multiplexed and transferred to optical fibre. Then the common split ratios 1:16, 1:32, 1:64, 1:128, and 1:256 are used. For merely estimated bit error ratio, cosimulation interface has another output with original bit sequence and its brought to the end of scheme where BER (Bit Error Rate) estimation occurs.

ODN part represents passive optical network with passive optical components – fibre and splitters. This simulation network relies on fibre G.657 which is designed for passive optical network with minimum attenuation and high resistance to macrobend.

Receiver side is composed of 2 separate parts. To determine a reliable communication we need high precision of BER and that means as many bits as possible generated in Matlab. Scheme uses also block based on stochastic determined BER for raw BER on Fig. 3. The raw BER is then without any defined encapsulation and error detection coding. This is all done after signal is demultiplexed and usually performed in separate network scheme. The another part on Fig. 4 is created by cosimulation interface which transformed electrical signal from photodiode into the Matlab for further processing and decapsulation. In both cases, the APD (Avalanche Photodiode) is used [19]. The receiver part represents 1 ONU with 1 lambda only.

A. Implementation of error coding in Matlab

As mentioned previously, encapsulation and decapsulation of data stream is performed by Matlab and connected with simulation software VPIphotonics via cosimulation interface. This encapsulation is also represented in Matlab by 3 sublayers on Fig. 5.

Since the size of XGETh frames in third sublayer is different and not the same during encapsulation, the beginning of the program is in the second sublayer known as FS. The number of units is 1 083 456 bits and header of these FS frames contains
Operating lambda is shown in Tab. III. For detection of signals, there are avalanching photodiodes used with responsivity (A/W) equals 1 in both scenarios of BER estimation. Optical loss budget of entire scheme is calculated as a sum of particular optical components. Together with min and max sensitivity, they have to match values from Tab. I. Attenuation of multiplexer and demultiplexer is 0.8 dB per port and modulator has 3.1 dB. Optical fibre G.657.A has attenuation coefficient 0.22 dB/km at 1625 nm. ODN classes N1, N2 and E1 are used in configuration with this type of fibre. In our simulation model class E2 uses modern ALLwave® fibre with attenuation less than 0.20 dB/km for 1625 nm. The rest of parameters are in [20].

### TABLE III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>Central frequency [THz]</th>
<th>Wavelength [nm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>187.3</td>
<td>1596.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>187.5</td>
<td>1598.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>187.66</td>
<td>1598.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The precision of simulation is highly dependent on setting of TimeWindow parameter. It represents amount of data passing through optical blocks in block mode simulation. In our case this parameter was set to maximum permissible value when simulation was still stable, 2 $\cdot 10^{20}$. By running simulation in interactive mode multiple times, the BER precision is higher by number of repetitions. Since transferred bits create PHY frame with error protection redundancy included, the real BER is given by size of user data in FS Frame on the second sublayer, after decoding the resulting BER is then

\[
\text{BER} = \frac{\text{number of errors}}{\text{number of bits transmitted}}
\]

### B. Simulation parameters

Standard NG-PON2 provides 4 ODN classes. They vary by sensitivity at photodiode, attenuation of the ODN and values of launched power at R/S point. The parameters for line rate 9.98 Gbit/s are in Tab. I. Each class can be deployed in various network scenarios with various component requirements.

The launched power is the total power launched to the fibre at the R/S point that means 1 lambda is 25% of that power. In our simulation we used maximum values for all parameters for each class, defined in Tab. II.

### C. Simulation results

Simulations were performed for all ODN classes and various lengths of fibre. We compared ODN with same split ratio with and without our implementation of FEC according to [19] from

---

**Fig. 5. Sublayer model in Matlab**

Field and structures and some of them are protected by HEC (Hybrid Error Coding) which is combination of BCH (Bose, Chaudhuri, and Hocquenghem) codes and parity bit. Payload is then formed by XGEM frames, each frame is indexed and XGEM header is protected by HEC coding. These units of third sublayer known as SAS, contain identifiers necessary for correct delivery of data stream to particular ONU unit and distinguish each service in data flow. Data units of second sublayer are divided into 627 blocks of data and pushed to the first physical sublayer where the main error protection coding occurs and synchronization structures are added. Each block has 1728 bits and after FEC coding becomes codeword with 1984 bits. That means 32 byte parity is added to each block of data. Together with 24 bytes of synchronization PSBd block creates PHY frame as a sum of all 627 codewords and this structure. Situation is illustrated in Fig. 5. At the other end of simulation scheme, there is electrical signal from photodiode converted into bits and decapsulation with decoding in Matlab is performed. The output bit sequence is compared with the original one from the beginning. Theoretical precision of BER is given by size of FS Frame – $\frac{1}{1083456}$.

### TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ODN classes parameters in NG-PON2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum loss [dB]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum loss [dB]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min power [dB]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max power [dB]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min sensitivity [dBm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max sensitivity [dBm]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Launched power of light on 1 lambda used in simulations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 λ Power [dBm]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulation lambda used in simulation model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Channel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
fibre distance point of view by limited BER value (without FEC = \(10^{-12}\) and with FEC = \(10^{-4}\)). The first split ratio 1:16 is not often used in real application, but can provide good grounding about maximum fibre reachable distance, and also test higher values in receiving power on photodiode near to maximum overload sensitivity. The ODN classes N1 and N2 where less powerful and cheaper lasers are used can provide us reliable communication even without any FEC error coding up to 25 and 30 km. With this feature on the fibre, lengths are improved by 60\% total reach is more than 40 km. Class E1 with maximum optical power ensure reliable communication on 40 km without FEC and 55 km with error coding on. The least likely usable scenario at first sight over a 1:16 split ratio and ODN class E2 with special ALLwave® fibre is able to reach 60 km with FEC error correction coding. This scenario is more suitable for utilization in mobile networks when operator can choose to deploy passive optical network to interconnect particular mobile network elements.

The next ratios 1:32 and 1:64 represent higher probability in deployment as passive optical network in these numbers of receivers each receiving various services. Fibre distance without FEC error detection coding in 1:32 vary from 20 to 30 km. Turning off this feature leads to increase throughput ready for user traffic with same bandwidth 9.98 Gbit/s. As was mentioned before FEC parity takes about 13\% of the bandwidth. This is done automatically by reporting average BER in upstream 2nd sublayer units – FS bursts. Turning on error correction coding causes extension from 30 km to 40 km for 30 km to 45 km, respectively. One of the most common splitting ration is 1:64. ODN class N1 is almost hardly applicable with reliable transmission over 10 km. Network provider needs to deploy network with at least ODN class N2 and higher. Standard NG-PON2 in DD40 configuration should be able to ensure reliable transmission over the fibre length of 40 km. However our simulation scenario shows us it is possible only with fibre which is 5 km shorter. Avalanche photodiode and inner processing circuits of this simulation network are able to process optical power in acceptable BER with receiving optical power no less than \(-25\) dBm. Standard counts with very courageous \(-28\) dBm and so there is a part for improvements either in reduction total loss budget or more likely in using more sensitive APD receiver. Our simulation software did not provide that – responsivity (A/W) was set to 1. Second option is using passive fibre extender based on the Raman amplification [21]. The passive nature of network remains unchanged but other things bounded with this solution must be take into consideration. The last 2 split ratios 1:128 and 1:256 and their BER dependence on fibre distance on Fig. 7 provide high number of potential recipients. However their deployment is eligible with ODN class N2 and higher. The transmitting power in this class provide us reliable communication only at 10 km fibre length with FEC on. Ratio 1:256 has limited real use on longer distances and can be deploy only with mentioned fibres and power budget at distance 10 km with FEC on. For now, provider must use more OLT transmitting units in order to satisfy demand of this high number of recipients on longer distances and still using passive optical solution.

Finally, we compared two eye diagrams for 1:64 split ratio with and without FEC for 35 km length of ODN. As can be seen in Fig. 8 the eye diagram with FEC implementation has better parameters. For example, the logical level of 0 below in Fig. 8 and nearly to the x-axis is approximately same for both eye diagrams with small ISI (Inter-Symbol Interference). In other words, line widths are same in comparison with lines for the logical level of 1 in Fig. 8 nearly to the y-axis. There is the main difference in line width. The eye diagram for simulation without FEC has a bigger line width which causes higher ISI. Inter-Interference Interference (ISI) is a form of distortion caused by a flawed sequence of symbols. This is an undesirable effect on BER in the receiver, which can be reduced by a correction code. The lower ISI for the logical level of 1 proves a proper function of our TC layer implementation.

V. Conclusion

In this paper, we briefly introduced NG-PON2 standard of access networks. We also focused on an implementation of transmission convergence layer for these networks. However, all commercially available optical network simulation tools primarily focus on a physical layer aspect, they also support a co-simulation block with Matlab code and/or TCL (Tool Command Language) language. Our implementation uses a Matlab code and proves a correct function.

The simulation results show differences in same NG-PON2 topology and parameters with and without a transmission layer implementation. In other words, results without transmission
convergence layer implementation are not able to provide satisfactory values because they do not use encapsulation method and a correction mechanism for data transmission. For example, according to simulations without TC layer of NG-PON2 is able to transmit data up to 10 km (N1 attenuation class) for 64 customers but with a TC layer implementation is 15 km for 64 customers. We achieved a minimum of 5 km extension of ODN network reaches for all attenuation classes. In general, results are strongly dependent on TC layer implementation.

The future work will continue with modifying our implementation of NG-PON2 TC layer for IEEE PONs which are based on Ethernet frames transmission.
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